

## New Entropy Measures Based on Neutrosophic Set and Their Applications to Multi-Criteria Decision Making

Ali AYDOĞDU\*1, Rıdvan ŞAHİN<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Beykent University, Science and Art Faculty, Department of Mathematics, 34485, İstanbul, Turkey  
(ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9718-7611>)

<sup>2</sup>Gümüşhane University, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Department of Mathematical Engineering, 29100, Gümüşhane, Turkey  
(ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7434-4269>)

(Alınış / Received: 05.07.2018, Kabul / Accepted: 14.01.2019, Online Yayınlanma / Published Online: 16.04.2019)

### Keywords

Neutrosophic set,  
Single-valued neutrosophic set,  
Interval neutrosophic set,  
Entropy measure,  
Decision making

**Abstract:** Our aim in this work is to obtain two new entropy measures for single valued neutrosophic sets (SVNSs) and interval neutrosophic sets (INSs). Moreover, we give the essential properties of the proposed entropies. Finally, we introduce a numerical example to show that the entropy measures are more reliable and reasonable for representing the degree of uncertainty.

## Neutrosophic Küme Üzerinde Yeni Entropi Ölçüsü ve Çok Kriterli Karar Verme Uygulamaları

### Anahtar Kelimeler

Neutrosophic küme,  
Tek-değerli neutrosophic küme,  
Aralık-değerli neutrosophic küme,  
Entropi ölçüsü,  
Karar verme

**Özet:** Bu çalışmadaki amacımız, tek-değerli neutrosophic kümeler (SVNSs) ve aralık-değerli neutrosophic kümeler (INSs) için iki yeni entropi ölçüsü oluşturmaktır. Buna ek olarak, oluşturulan entropilerin temel özelliklerini gösterdik. Son olarak, oluşturulan entropi ölçülerinin belirsizlik derecesini temsil edebilmede daha makul ve güvenilir olduklarını gösteren bir sayısal örnek verdik.

### 1. Introduction

Neutrosophy is a branch of philosophy which associates the logical knowledge, set theory, philosophy and probability. Smarandache [1,2] introduced the neutrosophic sets (NSs). Unlike the fuzzy sets (FSs) and intuitionistic fuzzy sets (IFSs), an NS is formed that the truth-membership function (TMF), the indeterminacy-membership function (IMF) and the falsity-membership function (FMF). Although the combined uncertainty is dependent on the belongingness and non-belongingness degrees of existing sets, the uncertainty presented here is independent on the truth and falsity values. The structure of NSs is not convenient to implement real-life situations. Thus, Wang et al. [3,4] improved SVNSs and INSs, which are generalization of NSs.

Entropy measure is a very important concept for measuring fuzziness degree or uncertain information in fuzzy set theory. Therefore, it has attracted considerable attention during the recent years. In 1965, Zadeh [5] presented the entropy measure for FSs. De Luca and Termini [6] first gave axiomatic

structure to determine the fuzziness degree of fuzzy set and introduced the entropy of FS based on Shannon's function in [7]. Bustince and Burrillo [8] introduced the distance measure between IFSs and entropy for IFS. Szmidt and Kacprzyk [9] proposed entropy for IFSs, which based on an extension of fuzzy entropy axioms of De Luca and Termini's [6] work. Ye [10] introduced entropy measure for interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets (IVIFS). Wei et al. [11] defined entropy measure for IVIFS. Majumdar and Samanta [12] gave the entropy measure for SVNSs and proposed its some properties. Aydoğdu [13,14] introduced similarity and entropy measure for SVNSs and INSs. Ye and Du [15] proposed distances, similarity and entropy measures for INSs. Ye [16-17] established multi-criteria decision-making (MCDM) method under SVNSs. Ye [18] introduced cross entropy for SVNSs and INSs and gave MCDM methods. Tian et al. [19] proposed MCDM method under INSs. Şahin [20] established a cross entropy measure of INSs and introduced MCDM methods under INSs. Peng and Dai [21-22] gave an analysis of neutrosophic-related research published from 1998 to 2017, and introduced distance measure and similarity measure

for SVNNSs and proposed MCDM methods. In this study, we define two new entropy measures for SVNNSs and INNSs, respectively. Then we apply the entropy measure of SVNNSs to solve an MCDM problem, which the attribute values are elements of SVNNSs. We introduce an example to show the convenience of the introduced method in its practical applications.

## 2. Material and Method

This section gives a brief outline of NSs, SVNNSs and INNSs.

**Definition 2.1.** [2] Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a universal set, then a NS is defined as:

$$S = \{(y, t_S(y), i_S(y), f_S(y)) : y \in \mathcal{U}\},$$

which is typified by a TMF, an IMF and a FMF, respectively. Here the TMF, the IMF and the FMF are functions from  $\mathcal{U}$  to non-standard unit interval  $]^{-0, 1^+}[$ .

There is not any limitation on the sum of membership functions, so

$$^{-0} \leq \sup t_S(y) + \sup i_S(y) + \sup f_S(y) \leq 3^+.$$

We now give definition of SVNNS.

**Definition 2.2.** [3] Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a universal set, then a SVNNS  $S$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  is defined as:

$$S = \{(y, t_S(y), i_S(y), f_S(y)) : y \in \mathcal{U}\},$$

where  $t_S: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow [0,1]$ ,  $i_S: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow [0,1]$  and  $f_S: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow [0,1]$ .

The values  $t_S(y)$ ,  $i_S(y)$  and  $f_S(y)$  denote the truth-membership degree (TMD), the indeterminacy-membership degree (IMD) and the falsity-membership degree (FMD) of  $y$ , respectively, and the sum of the TMD, IMD and FMD is in the interval  $[0,3]$ .

The set  $\mathcal{G}$  is denoted set of all the SVNNSs in  $\mathcal{U}$ . We denote the single valued neutrosophic number (SVN) by  $S = \langle t_S, i_S, f_S \rangle$  for convenience.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be two SVNNSs. The intersection of  $S$  and  $T$ , denoted by  $N = S \cap T$ , is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} t_N(y) &= \min\{t_S(y), t_T(y)\} \\ i_N(y) &= \min\{i_S(y), i_T(y)\} \\ f_N(y) &= \max\{f_S(y), f_T(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $y \in \mathcal{U}$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be two SVNNSs. The union of  $S$  and  $T$  is a SVNNS  $U$ , denoted by  $U = S \cup T$ , is defined as

$$t_U(y) = \max\{t_S(y), t_T(y)\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} i_U(y) &= \max\{i_S(y), i_T(y)\} \\ f_U(y) &= \min\{f_S(y), f_T(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $y \in \mathcal{U}$ .

**Definition 2.5.** The complement of SVNNS  $S$  is denoted by  $S^c$  and is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} t_{S^c}(y) &= f_S(y) \\ i_{S^c}(y) &= 1 - i_S(y) \\ f_{S^c}(y) &= t_S(y) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $y \in \mathcal{U}$ .

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be two SVNNSs. Then  $S$  is contained the  $T$ , is denoted  $S \subseteq T$ , if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} t_S(y) &\leq t_T(y) \\ i_S(y) &\leq i_T(y) \\ f_S(y) &\geq f_T(y) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $y \in \mathcal{U}$ .

Wang et al. [4] introduced INNS, is characterized by a truth membership interval (TMI), an indeterminacy membership interval (IMI) and a false membership interval (FMI) neutrosophic set. It is used to deal with uncertainty in fields of scientific, engineering environment, etc.

**Definition 2.7.** [4] Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be universal set. The set of all closed subsets of  $[0,1]$  is denoted by  $\mathbf{I}$ . An INS  $N \in \mathcal{U}$  is characterized by a TMF  $t_N: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{I}$ , a IMF  $i_N: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{I}$  and a FMF  $f_N: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{I}$ , with the form

$$N = \{(y, t_N(y), i_N(y), f_N(y)) : y \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Let  $t_N(y) = [t_N^l(y), t_N^u(y)]$ ,  $i_N(y) = [i_N^l(y), i_N^u(y)]$  and  $f_N(y) = [f_N^l(y), f_N^u(y)]$ , then INS  $N$  is

$$\{(y, [t_N^l(y), t_N^u(y)], [i_N^l(y), i_N^u(y)], [f_N^l(y), f_N^u(y)]) : y \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

with,  $0 \leq \sup t_N^u(y) + \sup i_N^u(y) + \sup f_N^u(y) \leq 3$  for all  $y \in \mathcal{U}$ . It is clear that an INS is NS.

**Definition 2.8.** [4] Let  $N$  and  $M$  be two INNS. The intersection of  $N$  and  $M$  is INS  $K$ , denoted by  $K = N \cap M$ , is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} t_K^l(y) &= \min\{t_N^l(y), t_M^l(y)\} \\ t_K^u(y) &= \min\{t_N^u(y), t_M^u(y)\} \\ i_K^l(y) &= \max\{i_N^l(y), i_M^l(y)\} \\ i_K^u(y) &= \max\{i_N^u(y), i_M^u(y)\} \\ f_K^l(y) &= \max\{f_N^l(y), f_M^l(y)\} \\ f_K^u(y) &= \max\{f_N^u(y), f_M^u(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $y \in \mathcal{U}$ .

**Definition 2.9.** [4] Let  $N$  and  $M$  be two INSs. The union of  $N$  and  $M$  is an INS  $U$ , is written by  $U = N \cup M$ , is defined as follow

$$\begin{aligned} t_U^l(y) &= \max\{t_N^l(y), t_M^l(y)\} \\ t_U^u(y) &= \max\{t_N^u(y), t_M^u(y)\} \\ i_U^l(y) &= \min\{i_N^l(y), i_M^l(y)\} \\ i_U^u(y) &= \min\{i_N^u(y), i_M^u(y)\} \\ f_U^l(y) &= \min\{f_N^l(y), f_M^l(y)\} \\ f_U^u(y) &= \min\{f_N^u(y), f_M^u(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $y \in \mathfrak{U}$ .

**Definition 2.10.** [4] Let  $N$  be INS. Denote by  $N^c$  the complement of  $N$  and the INS  $N^c$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} t_{N^c}(y) &= f_N(y) \\ f_{N^c}(y) &= t_N(y) \\ i_{N^c}^l(y) &= 1 - i_N^u(y) \\ i_{N^c}^u(y) &= 1 - i_N^l(y) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $y \in \mathfrak{U}$ .

**Definition 2.11.** [4] An INS  $M$  contain in the other INS  $N$ , is denoted by  $N \subseteq M$ , if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} t_N^l(y) &\leq t_M^l(y); t_N^u(y) \leq t_M^u(y) \\ i_N^l(y) &\geq i_M^l(y); i_N^u(y) \geq i_M^u(y) \\ f_N^l(y) &\geq f_M^l(y); f_N^u(y) \geq f_M^u(y) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $y \in \mathfrak{U}$ .

### 3. Results

**Definition 3.1.** [12] Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be all SVNNSs on  $\mathfrak{U}$  and  $S \in \mathcal{G}$ . An entropy on SVNNSs is a function  $E_{\mathcal{G}}: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow [0,1]$  which satisfying:

- i.  $E_{\mathcal{G}}(S) = 0$  if  $S$  is crisp set
- ii.  $E_{\mathcal{G}}(S) = 1$  if  $(t_S(y), i_S(y), f_S(y)) = (0.5, 0.5, 0.5)$  for all  $y \in \mathfrak{U}$
- iii.  $E_{\mathcal{G}}(S) \geq E_{\mathcal{G}}(T)$  if  $S \subset T$ , i.e.,  $t_S(y) \leq t_T(y)$ ,  $f_S(y) \geq f_T(y)$ ,  $i_S(y) \leq i_T(y)$  for all  $y \in \mathfrak{U}$
- iv.  $E_{\mathcal{G}}(S) = E_{\mathcal{G}}(S^c)$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{G}$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $S$  be a SVNNS. Then the entropy of  $S$  is,

$$E_{\mathcal{G}}(S) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2 - |t_S(y_i) - f_S(y_i)| - |i_S(y_i) - i_{S^c}(y_i)|}{2 + |t_S(y_i) - f_S(y_i)| + |i_S(y_i) - i_{S^c}(y_i)|}$$

for all  $y_i \in \mathfrak{U}$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** The SVN entropy of  $E_{\mathcal{G}}(S)$  is an entropy measure for SVNNSs.

**Proof:** We show that the  $E_{\mathcal{G}}(S)$  satisfies the conditions  $i - vi$  in Definition 3.1.

i. When  $S$  is a crisp set, i.e.,  $t_S(y_i) = 0, i_S(y_i) = 0, f_S(y_i) = 1$  or  $t_S(y_i) = 1, i_S(y_i) = 0, f_S(y_i) = 0$ , for all  $y_i \in \mathfrak{U}$ . It is clear that  $E_{\mathcal{G}}(S) = 0$ .

ii. Let  $(t_S(y), i_S(y), f_S(y)) = (0.5, 0.5, 0.5)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathcal{G}}(S) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2 - |0.5 - 0.5| - |0.5 - 0.5|}{2 + |0.5 - 0.5| + |0.5 - 0.5|} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1 \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

iii. If  $S \subset T$ , then  $t_S(y) \leq t_T(y), f_S(y) \geq f_T(y)$  and  $i_S(y) \leq i_T(y)$  for all  $y \in \mathfrak{U}$ . So  $t_S(y_i) - f_S(y_i) \leq t_T(y_i) - f_T(y_i)$  and  $i_S(y) - i_{S^c}(y_i) \leq i_T(y) - i_{T^c}(y_i)$ . Since  $|t_S(y_i) - f_S(y_i)| + |i_S(y_i) - i_{S^c}(y_i)| \leq |t_T(y_i) - f_T(y_i)| + |i_T(y_i) - i_{T^c}(y_i)|$ ,  $E_{\mathcal{G}}(S) \geq E_{\mathcal{G}}(T)$ .

iv. Since  $t_{S^c}(y) = f_S(y), i_{S^c}(y) = 1 - i_S(y)$  and  $f_{S^c}(y) = t_S(y)$ , it is clear that  $E_{\mathcal{G}}(S) = E_{\mathcal{G}}(S^c)$ .

The proof is completed.

In many practical situations, one should be considered the weight of each element  $y \in \mathfrak{U}$ . For instance, the considered attribute has generally different importance in MADM problems. Herewith its is appointed with different weights. Assume that the weights  $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)^T$  with  $\omega_j \in [0,1]$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i = 1$ . Then weighted entropy measure is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\omega_{\mathcal{G}}}(S) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i \left( \frac{2 - |t_S(y_i) - f_S(y_i)| - |i_S(y_i) - i_{S^c}(y_i)|}{2 + |t_S(y_i) - f_S(y_i)| + |i_S(y_i) - i_{S^c}(y_i)|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be all INSs on  $\mathfrak{U}$  and  $N \in \mathcal{J}$ . is a function  $E_{\mathcal{J}}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow [0,1]$  is an entropy on INSs which satisfying:

- i.  $E_{\mathcal{J}}(N) = 0$  if  $N$  is crisp set
- ii.  $E_{\mathcal{J}}(N) = 1$  if  $[t_N^l(y), t_N^u(y)] = [f_N^l(y), f_N^u(y)]$  and  $[i_N^l(y), i_N^u(y)] = [i_{N^c}^l(y), i_{N^c}^u(y)]$  for all  $y \in \mathfrak{U}$
- iii.  $E_{\mathcal{J}}(N) = E_{\mathcal{J}}(N^c)$  for all  $N \in \mathcal{J}$ .
- iv.  $E_{\mathcal{J}}(N) \geq E_{\mathcal{J}}(M)$  if  $N \subseteq M$  when  $t_N^l(y) + i_N^u(y) < 1$  and  $i_M^l(y) + i_M^u(y) < 1$ , for all  $y \in \mathfrak{U}$ .

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $N$  be an INS. Then the entropy of  $N$  is,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathcal{J}}(N) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \frac{4 - |t_N^l(y_i) - f_N^l(y_i)| - |t_N^u(y_i) - f_N^u(y_i)|}{4 + |t_N^l(y_i) - f_N^l(y_i)| + |t_N^u(y_i) - f_N^u(y_i)|} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{-|i_N^l(y_i) - i_{N^c}^l(y_i)| - |i_N^u(y_i) - i_{N^c}^u(y_i)|}{+|i_N^l(y_i) - i_{N^c}^l(y_i)| + |i_N^u(y_i) - i_{N^c}^u(y_i)|}$$

for all  $y_i \in \mathfrak{U}$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** The entropy of  $E_j(N)$  is an entropy measure for IVN sets.

**Proof:** We show that the  $E_j(N)$  satisfies the conditions  $i - vi$  in Definition 3.4.

- i. When  $N$  is a crisp set, i.e.,  $t_N^l(y_i) = t_N^u(y_i) = 0$ ,  $i_N^l(y_i) = i_N^u(y_i) = 0$ ,  $f_N^l(y_i) = f_N^u(y_i) = 1$  or  $t_N^l(y_i) = t_N^u(y_i) = 1$ ,  $i_N^l(y_i) = i_N^u(y_i) = 0$ ,  $f_N^l(y_i) = f_N^u(y_i) = 0$ , for all  $y_i \in \mathfrak{U}$ . It is clear that  $E_j(N) = 0$ .
- ii. Set  $[t_N^l(y_i), t_N^u(y_i)] = [f_N^l(y_i), f_N^u(y_i)] = [a, b]$  and  $[i_N^l(y_i), i_N^u(y_i)] = [i_{N^c}^l(y_i), i_{N^c}^u(y_i)] = [c, d]$  for all  $y_i \in \mathfrak{U}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} E_j(N) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \frac{4 - |a - a| - |b - b| - |c - c| - |d - d|}{4 + |a - a| + |b - b| + |c - c| + |d - d|} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{4}{4} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

iii. Since

$$\begin{aligned} t_{N^c}(y_i) &= f_N(y_i) \\ f_{N^c}(y_i) &= t_N(y_i) \\ i_{N^c}^l(y_i) &= 1 - i_N^u(y_i) \\ i_{N^c}^u(y_i) &= 1 - i_N^l(y_i) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $y_i \in \mathfrak{U}$ , it is clear that  $E_j(N) = E_j(N^c)$ .

iv. If  $N \subseteq M$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} t_N^l(y_i) &\leq t_M^l(y_i); \quad t_N^u(y_i) \leq t_M^u(y_i) \\ i_N^l(y_i) &\geq i_M^l(y_i); \quad i_N^u(y_i) \geq i_M^u(y_i) \\ f_N^l(y_i) &\geq f_M^l(y_i); \quad f_N^u(y_i) \geq f_M^u(y_i) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $y_i \in \mathfrak{U}$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} |t_N^l(y_i) - f_N^l(y_i)| &\leq |t_M^l(y_i) - f_M^l(y_i)| \\ |t_N^u(y_i) - f_N^u(y_i)| &\leq |t_M^u(y_i) - f_M^u(y_i)| \end{aligned}$$

and,  $i_N^l(y) + i_N^u(y) < 1$  and  $i_M^l(y) + i_M^u(y) < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |i_N^l(y_i) - i_{N^c}^l(y_i)| &\leq |i_M^l(y_i) - i_{M^c}^l(y_i)| \\ |i_N^u(y_i) - i_{N^c}^u(y_i)| &\leq |i_M^u(y_i) - i_{M^c}^u(y_i)| \end{aligned}$$

for all  $y_i \in \mathfrak{U}$ , then  $E_j(N) \geq E_j(M)$ .

The proof is completed.

Similarly, the weighted entropy measure for INs is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\omega_j}(N) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i \left\{ \frac{4 - |t_N^l(y_i) - f_N^l(y_i)| - |t_N^u(y_i) - f_N^u(y_i)|}{4 + |t_N^l(y_i) - f_N^l(y_i)| + |t_N^u(y_i) - f_N^u(y_i)|} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{|i_N^l(y_i) - i_{N^c}^l(y_i)| - |i_N^u(y_i) - i_{N^c}^u(y_i)|}{+|i_N^l(y_i) - i_{N^c}^l(y_i)| + |i_N^u(y_i) - i_{N^c}^u(y_i)|} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)^T$  with  $\omega_j \in [0,1]$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i = 1$ .

Here, we propose a method for multi-criteria decision method under SVN and IN environment.

Firstly, we apply our proposed entropy measure to MCDM with SVN information. The set of alternatives is denoted by  $S = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m\}$ , and the set of attributes is denoted by  $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n\}$ . Let  $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)^T$  be the probable weighting vector of the attribute  $\mathcal{A}_j$  where  $\omega_j \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j = 1$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Assume that  $A = [a_{ij}]_{m \times n}$  is the decision matrix, where  $a_{ij} = (t_{ij}, i_{ij}, f_{ij})$  is characterized by SVN variable for an alternative  $S_i$  with respect to a criterion  $\mathcal{A}_j$ , and  $0 \leq t_{ij} \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq i_{ij} \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq f_{ij} \leq 1$ ,  $t_{ij} + i_{ij} + f_{ij} \leq 3$ .

We now improve an approach for the decision maker to determine the perfect choice with SVN information. It is carried out the following steps to get best choice:

**Step1.** The entropy values are computed corresponding to each alternative  $S_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) by using the proposed entropy measure

**Step 2.** The alternatives are put in order according to the values of the entropy measures.

**Step 3.** The best alternative is selected in accordance with the value of entropy.

**Step4.** End.

**Example 3.7.** Suppose that a food & beverage company that wants to select the best accounting software. There are four possible alternatives in which to choose the software program:  $S_1, S_2, S_3$  and  $S_4$ . The food & beverage company must give a decision according to the three attributes:  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is the price;  $\mathcal{A}_2$  is the security, and  $\mathcal{A}_3$  is the efficiency. Suppose that  $\omega = (0.40, 0.25, 0.35)$  is weight vector of the attribute for TMD, the IMD and the FMD, respectively. The possible alternatives are computed with respect to these attributes. Decision makers provide the alternatives in the form of SVN according to the attributes  $\mathcal{A}_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ). The SVN decision matrix  $A$  is obtained as follow:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \{0.6, 0.2, 0.1\} & \{0.3, 0.1, 0.3\} & \{0.1, 0.3, 0.4\} \\ \{0.2, 0.3, 0.2\} & \{0.4, 0.1, 0.2\} & \{0.4, 0.3, 0.2\} \\ \{0.6, 0.0, 0.2\} & \{0.4, 0.3, 0.1\} & \{0.4, 0.2, 0.3\} \\ \{0.4, 0.2, 0.3\} & \{0.5, 0.1, 0.2\} & \{0.3, 0.3, 0.4\} \end{pmatrix}$$

If one needs to select the best alternative(s), one carry out the following steps:

**Step 1.** The weighted entropy measures of the alternatives are computed by the use of the entropy measure:

$$E_{\omega_G}(S_1) = 0.131, \quad E_{\omega_G}(S_2) = 0.179, \\ E_{\omega_G}(S_3) = 0.120, \quad E_{\omega_G}(S_4) = 0.158.$$

**Step 2.** According to the values of entropy measure, the alternatives are ordered as  $S_2 > S_4 > S_1 > S_3$ .

**Step 3.** The third alternative  $S_3$  is the appropriate choosing with respect to the entropy values.

Secondly, we apply our proposed entropy measure to MCDM with IN information. The set of alternatives is denoted by  $N = \{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m\}$ , and the set of attributes is denoted by  $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \dots, \mathcal{B}_n\}$ . Let  $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)^T$  be the probable weighting vector of the attribute  $\mathcal{B}_j$  where  $\omega_j \geq 0, \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j = 1, 1 \leq j \leq n$ . Assume that  $B = [b_{ij}]_{m \times n}$  is the decision matrix, where  $b_{ij} = ([t_{ij}^l, t_{ij}^u], [i_{ij}^l, i_{ij}^u], [f_{ij}^l, f_{ij}^u])$  is characterized by IN variable for an alternative  $N_i$  with respect to a criterion  $\mathcal{B}_j$ , and  $0 \leq t_{ij}^u + i_{ij}^u + f_{ij}^u \leq 3, t_{ij}^l \geq 0, i_{ij}^l \geq 0, f_{ij}^l \geq 0$ .

We now improve an approach for the decision maker to determine the perfect choice with IN information. It is carried out the following steps to get best choice:

**Step1.** The entropy values are computed corresponding to each alternative  $N_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) by using the proposed entropy measure

**Step 2.** The alternatives are put in order according to the values of the entropy measures.

**Step 3.** The best alternative is selected in accordance with the value of entropy.

**Step4.** End.

**Example 3.8.** Suppose that a machine factory that wants to select the best selection of plot location. There are four possible alternatives in which to choose the location:  $N_1, N_2, N_3$  and  $N_4$ . The machine factory must give a decision according to the three attributes:  $\mathcal{B}_1$  is the proximity to markets;  $\mathcal{B}_2$  is the proximity to suppliers, and  $\mathcal{B}_3$  is the proximity to competitors. Suppose that  $\omega = (0.25, 0.35, 0.40)$  is weight vector of the attribute for TMD, the IMD and the FMD, respectively. The possible alternatives are computed with respect to these attributes. Decision makers provide the alternatives in the form of IN according to the attributes  $\mathcal{B}_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ). The IN decision matrix  $D$  is obtained as follow:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \langle [0.4, 0.8], [0.1, 0.3], [0.1, 0.2] \rangle & \langle [0.2, 0.4], [0.2, 0.5], [0.1, 0.5] \rangle \\ \langle [0.1, 0.3], [0.2, 0.4], [0.2, 0.4] \rangle & \langle [0.2, 0.5], [0.1, 0.2], [0.3, 0.8] \rangle \\ \langle [0.3, 0.5], [0.1, 0.2], [0.2, 0.3] \rangle & \langle [0.1, 0.4], [0.2, 0.7], [0.1, 0.2] \rangle \\ \langle [0.1, 0.5], [0.1, 0.3], [0.1, 0.5] \rangle & \langle [0.3, 0.9], [0.0, 0.2], [0.1, 0.3] \rangle \\ & \langle [0.0, 0.2], [0.1, 0.5], [0.3, 0.5] \rangle \\ & \langle [0.3, 0.4], [0.0, 0.5], [0.1, 0.3] \rangle \\ & \langle [0.3, 0.5], [0.3, 0.4], [0.3, 0.6] \rangle \\ & \langle [0.1, 0.5], [0.2, 0.4], [0.1, 0.7] \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

If one needs to select the best alternative(s), one carry out the following steps:

**Step 1.** The weighted entropy measures of the alternatives are computed by the use of the entropy measure:

$$E_{\omega_J}(N_1) = 0.187, \quad E_{\omega_J}(N_2) = 0.163, \\ E_{\omega_J}(N_3) = 0.176, \quad E_{\omega_J}(N_4) = 0.148.$$

**Step 2.** According to the values of entropy measure, the alternatives are ordered as  $N_1 > N_3 > N_2 > N_4$ .

**Step 3.** The third alternative  $N_4$  is the appropriate choosing with respect to the entropy values

#### 4. Discussion and Conclusion

In this study, we define the entropy measures for SVNSSs and INSSs. A MCDM method is improved to illustrate the proposed entropy measure. Finally, the investment problem is solved.

#### References

- [1] Smarandache, F. 1998. A unifying field in logics. neutrosophy: Neutrosophic probability, set and logic, American Research Press, Rehoboth, 157p.
- [2] Smarandache, F. 2005. A generalization of the intuitionistic fuzzy set. International journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 24(2005), 287-297.
- [3] Wang, H., Smarandache, F., Zhang Y.Q., Sunderraman, R. 2005. Single valued neutrosophic sets, in Proc. of 10th Int. Conf. on Fuzzy Theory and Technology, July 21-26, Salt Lake City, Utah.
- [4] Wang, H., Smarandache, F., Zhang Y.Q., Sunderraman, R. 2005. Interval neutrosophic sets and logic: Theory and applications in computing', Hexis, Phoenix, AZ, 99p.
- [5] Zadeh, L.A . 1965. Fuzzy sets. Information and Control, 8(1965), 338-356.
- [6] De Luca, A., Termini, S. 1972. A definition of nonprobabilistic entropy in the setting of fuzzy sets theory. Information and Control, 20(1972), 301-312.
- [7] Shannon, C.E., 1948. A mathematical theory of communication. Bell system technical journal, 27(3), 379-423.

- [8] Burillo, P. Bustince, H., 1996. Entropy on intuitionistic fuzzy sets and on interval-valued fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 78(3), 305-316.
- [9] Szmidt, E. Kacprzyk, J., 2001. Entropy for intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 118(3), 467-477.
- [10] Ye, J., 2010. Multicriteria fuzzy decision-making method using entropy weights-based correlation coefficients of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 34(12), 3864-3870.
- [11] Wei, C.P., Wang, P., Zhang, Y.Z., 2011. Entropy, similarity measure of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets and their applications. *Information Sciences*, 181(19), 4273-4286.
- [12] Majumdar, P., Samanta, S.K., 2014. On similarity and entropy of neutrosophic sets. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 26(3), pp.1245-1252.
- [13] Aydođdu, A. 2015. On Similarity and Entropy of Single Valued Neutrosophic Sets. *General Mathematics Notes*, 29 (1) (2015), 67-74.
- [14] Aydođdu, A. 2015. On Entropy and Similarity Measure of Interval Valued Neutrosophic Sets. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 9(2015), 47-49.
- [15] Ye, J., Du, S., 2017. Some distances, similarity and entropy measures for interval-valued neutrosophic sets and their relationship. *International Journal of Machine Learning and Cybernetics*, pp.1-9.
- [16] Ye J. 2013. Multicriteria decision-making method using the the correlation coefficient under single-valued neutrosophic environment. *International Journal of General Systems*, 42(49) (2013), 386-394.
- [17] Ye, J., 2014. Single valued neutrosophic cross-entropy for multicriteria decision making problems. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 38(3), pp.1170-1175.
- [18] Ye, J., 2015. Improved cross entropy measures of single valued neutrosophic sets and interval neutrosophic sets and their multicriteria decision making methods. *Cybernetics and Information Technologies*, 15(4), 13-26.
- [19] Tian, Z.P., Zhang, H.Y., Wang, J., Wang, J.Q. and Chen, X.H., 2016. Multi-criteria decision-making method based on a cross-entropy with interval neutrosophic sets. *International Journal of Systems Science*, 47(15), 3598-3608.
- [20] Şahin, R., 2017. Cross-entropy measure on interval neutrosophic sets and its applications in multicriteria decision making. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 28(5), pp.1177-1187.
- [21] Peng X., Dai J. 2018. A bibliometric analysis of neutrosophic set: Two decades review from 1998 to 2017, *Artificial Intelligence Review*, doi: 10.1007/s10462-018-9652-0.
- [22] Peng X., Dai J. 2018. Approaches to single-valued neutrosophic MADM based on MABAC, TOPSIS and new similarity measure with score function, *Neural Computing and Applications*, 29 (10) (2018), 939-954.